

# THRUSSINGTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

## WORKING PARTY NOTES #20

MEETING 7.30pm February 28<sup>th</sup>, 2017

Present: Working Group:

Nigel Hainsworth      Nich Stanyard      Tom Prior      Dave Young

Mark Thistlethwaite

Apologies:

Candi Newby      Wendy Johnson      Duncan Cuckow

Circulation: Above, Bob Philips (UI), Jo Gregory (UI)

NOTE: This meeting was specific – its aim to outline the requirements for the consultation event to be held Saturday February 4<sup>th</sup> at the Village Hall. All other items on the agenda were not discussed.

### 1. Report from Parish Council

PC Suggested that an update from Feb 4<sup>th</sup> event should appear in T Life, this was written and contained in March edition.

### 2. Funding and budget

#### Budget:

Spend so far – see attached budget spreadsheet.

#### Funding:

Awaiting request for cash from Groundworks (Locality) to value of £333.14.

#### Second Locality grant

Grant received and in PC account. Value £3394

#### Awards for all application for Lottery grant

£3248 awarded, not yet received. **ACTION MT (HC)**

Questionnaires to be returned to group after analysis. **ACTION BP JG**

### 3. Project plan

Updated and attached.

#### 4. Technical baseline documents

Housing and Landscape study – sections 1-5 issued. Awaiting final section. **ACTION BP**

#### 5. Initial review of data from (UI) 4 February consultation. Review of Urban Imprints draft Emerging Policy Guidance

*General.*

What is the timescale/life of a completed plan? Are there set revision dates? **ACTION BP JG**

Ask Parish Council to set up a review date and budget. **ACTION MT**

Colour scheme of boxes makes it difficult to read queries and comments that appear in boxes once printed. Initial chapter list needs review. Report to UI. **ACTION BP JG**

Add Charnwood Planning Explorer to website. **DONE**

How long could a draft plan be? **ACTION BP JG**

Few comments to be made about report....**ACTION/RESPONSE BP JG**

*Housing*

3.1.1 Suggested “The community group are keen to avoid large scale developments and promote smaller more affordable dwellings to provide opportunities for downsizing.” Or, “The community group are keen to avoid large scale developments in line with Charnwood Core strategy”. We need to review this before publishing.

**ACTION ALL**

3.1.3 Change bungalow etc to dwellings?

3.15 Should last sentence be “conversion to dwellings”?

How do we define local housing need? Is this Charnwood or just the Parish? What is the effect of adjoining parishes?

*Transport*

3.2.1 Add “any” before “future developments”.

Question: Aspiration of PC is to initially add white road markings and chicane on Hoby Rd and then review. Possibly later add a speed indicator.

Transport where would we want it to go to? Possibly look at ability to purchase services from third party such as East Goscote or Syston.

Not sure what T3 means.

*Business and economic activity*

3.3.3 Change “considered” to “encouraged”.

Broadband poor at present, superfast fibre being brought to a cabinet by March 2017 (!) but no plan to deliver to individual households yet.

*Environment*

3.4.7 Who? Is this the Environmental Agency?

### *Leisure and recreation?*

Numbering of paragraphs different to earlier sections.

3.5.9 We need to define existing facilities.

L1 we are not sure about this and must look at the scale.

Questions: Parking and play areas.

### *Design and Heritage*

Numbering of paragraphs different to earlier sections.

3.6.10 SPD = Supplementary Planning Document?

Questions: Marie's, Shop, bridges, Wreake Valley Craftsmans, Dairy farm

### *Recommended Neighbourhood plan structure*

Policy: Typo should be "each"

Need to consider infrastructure.

Policies - can UI send us an example? Need to have an idea of scale, 2-3 sentences or lengthy?

UI were going to do barebones policies for us to review, add to and complete?

**ACTION FOR ALL THIS SECTION: UI and NP TO DISCUSS MARCH 16 AND DEFINE ACTION**

## **6. Update for Thrussington Life**

Update issued in last edition of T Life (March 2017).

## **7. Outstanding actions**

- a. BROWN AND COMPANY: Invited to discuss after Feb 4<sup>th</sup> event. No one turned up and no further correspondence received.
- b. Mr Kitchener has taken photos during autumn and winter and will do spring soon.
- c. Engagement of younger villagers Tots meeting. CANCEL.

## **8. Any other business**

- a. We will have to ask all residents to review the plan before the referendum. Cost would be £260 for 36 pages (£275 if some colour pages) and take 3-4 days.

NEXT MEETINGS:

Next working group/UI meeting – March 16<sup>th</sup> 2017, 7.30pm CHURCH

M Thistlethwaite 05/03/2017