

THRUSSINGTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

WORKING PARTY NOTES #21

MEETING 7.30pm March 16th, 2017

Present: Working Group:

Nich Stanyard Duncan Cuckow Wendy Johnson Candi Newby

Bob Philips (UI) Jo Gregory (UI) Mark Thistlethwaite

Plus: Ben Squires Verity Munden

Apologies:

Nigel Hainsworth Dave Young Tom Prior

Circulation: Above, J Poland

1. Initial review of data from (UI) 4 February consultation. Review of Urban Imprints draft Emerging Policy Guidance

General.

- Copies of emerging policy guidance to be forwarded to BS and VM. **ACTION MT**
- Recommended timescale of a plan is that it should be the same as that of the Charnwood plan, 2017-2028.
- If no changes (in local reviews) the review period should be between 7-10 years for a major review and that would need full examination by an independent examiner again. So we should review locally, regularly and note if we need to make changes. The government have/are producing a white paper with guidance for reviews and are aiming to make it easier in that minor changes do not have to go for a full examination.
- We need (in the plan) to identify trigger points for a review, ie change in National Policy, Charnwood Core Strategy, a large development (Ie Dalby and Broughton?)
- We have requested that we have a budget for review in Parish Precept.
- Colour scheme of boxes makes it difficult to read - BP to resolve. **ACTION BP.**
- Initial chapter list needs review. **ACTION BP JG**
- A draft plan for a parish our size should be of the order of 30-50 pages.
- Need to produce a draft plan for formal consultation (6 weeks).
- We need to encourage written feedback via a questionnaire (identified by name and address).
- We could produce a non technical summary for issue to the village along with required questionnaire for Regulation 14 consultation.

- Feedback should identify specific reference / policy. We need to publish key comments (without names and addresses).
- We need to gather and collate responses (agree/disagree/needs change etc).
- We need to discuss significant and justify any action/ non action.
- Produce draft plan and codify strategy with Urban Imprint at next joint meeting.
- Produce new *Vision and Objectives* document developed from comments Feb 4th. **ACTION JG**

In general all the points raised at the last meeting concerning the emerging policies document were addressed. Further comments are detailed below.

Housing

The words bungalow / retirement housing should not be fully dismissed as this was a major comment during questionnaire. Wording will be reviewed. **ACTION BP**

We need to define local housing need based on population structure, an idea of existing mix of dwellings,, the move to change smaller to larger, the request from the questionnaire for a need for bungalows and smaller dwellings.

Transport

No further comments.

Business and economic activity

No further comments.

Environment

From this discussion, and linked to other sections, it became apparent that we could do with a “water management” policy defining who can do what to the waterways (difference between silt removal, dredging, who is responsible for what etc.). Discuss further. **ACTION ALL**

Leisure and recreation?

Numbering of paragraphs different to earlier sections.

3.5.9 We need to define existing facilities.

L1 may be split into two policies or two parts separating leisure and tourism. **ACTION BP**

Design and Heritage

No further comments.

Recommended Neighbourhood plan structure

No further comments.

As a general comment it was decided that there was a need for an overarching general policy covering items that any developed would need to consider including traffic, safety, access to services (water, sewage power etc) and broadband. **ACTION BP**

OTHER

We have not considered a section on ecology and landscape protection – add to environment. **ACTION BP INITIALLY**

Landscape study (section 6 of housing and landscape technical baseline document) to be included in draft plan rather than baseline document.

NEXT

Policies – UI to prepare and send for detailing by working group.

Introductory chapters – UI to send examples – Working group to write (approx. 6 sides).

Draft plan.

Non technical summary.

Questionnaire.

ACTION: WORKING GROUP, VOLUNTEERS, URBAN IMPRINT – COMPLETION BY END APRIL

2. Report from Parish Council

No comments – next meeting 21/3/17.

3. Funding and budget

Budget:

Requested invoice from Urban Imprint for work to be carried out until 31/3. Invoices for remainder of grant should be generated prior to next meeting for end of grant report (which should be completed and forwarded to Helen Chadwick before month end). Still awaiting request for cash from Groundworks (Locality) to value of £333.14.

ACTION MT JG

(Note added after meeting: Invoice from Urban Imprint received and forwarded to Parish Council for approval).

Awards for all application for Lottery grant

£3248 awarded, not yet received. **ACTION MT (HC)**

4. Project plan

Consensus was that we are about a month behind schedule after discussions from this meeting it was agreed that end of April be targeted for completion of draft plan.

5. Technical baseline documents

After discussion at this meeting it was agreed that landscape study should form a separate section/addendum to draft plan. It has been rewritten but would need some minor tweaks to make it an appropriate section of the plan. **ACTION BP**

6. Update for Thrussington Life

Not required this meeting.

7. Outstanding actions

- a. Mr Kitchener has taken photos during autumn and winter and will do spring soon.

8. Any other business

- a. We will have to ask all residents to review the plan before the referendum. Cost would be £260 for 36 pages (£275 if some colour pages) and take 3-4 days.
- b. Questionnaires to be returned to group after analysis. **ACTION BP JG**

NEXT MEETINGS:

Next working group meeting – April 4th 2017, 7.30pm CHURCH – To be confirmed

Next working group/UI meeting – April, 11,12,13th 2017, 7.30pm CHURCH – to be agreed and confirmed.

M Thistlethwaite 19/03/2017

021 NP meeting 16 Mar 2017.docx